

Visiting Horava-Lifshitz gravity in extra dimensions

Qasem Exirifard

The Horava-Lifshitz gravity, having broken the symmetry of space and time, includes three objects: the spatial metric g_{ij} , the lapse variable N , and the shift variable N_i . Each of these objects have their own scaling dimensions. The action of the theory is required to be invariant under this scaling, and spatial diffeomorphism, and the temporal foliation symmetry. Noting that action can non-trivially depend on the lapse variable, we suggest to consider the Horava's approach to quantum gravity in higher dimensions such that a set of extra spacial coordinates possess a scaling dimension different from that of rest. In so doing, we propose a new power counting renormalizable theory for quantum gravity in its UV point. We show that the IR point and UV point of the proposal possess the same number of degrees of freedom in 3, 8 and 27 extra-dimensional space-time geometry.

From the far east to the far west, once for a long time, all the known great minds of the time unanimously agreed on the first theory of every thing: the doctrine of four elements, a logical extension of the one element (water) theory of Babylonia and Assyria. Today we have transcended/left this doctrine, and each of us keeps smiling at that theory of every thing. But the moment we halt smiling we find ourselves standing on nothing but the empty void of between the two peaks of understandings. One peak is the theory of general relativity, and the other one is quantum field theory. Even at their very basic of their foundations, these two peaks of knowledge contradict the nature of each other. At the top of the peaks, this contradiction is manifested by our total lack of ability to quantise general relativity. The weakest form of how to quantise gravity is to find a path from one peak to the other. Unfortunately, so far, we have not yet found any connecting path between these two that can be argued to be reasonably free of obstacles.

Petr Horava recently has suggested, to move away from one peak, and to break the symmetry between time and space in the UV point in order to have a power-counting renormalizable theory at that point. In this short note we would like to provide a deconstruction of the Horava's proposal which retain time and most of the space symmetric, but makes the space asymmetric. The deconstruction is implemented first by reviewing the terms that Petr Horava has missed to include in his proposal, then using these missing terms to create the deconstruction.

I. REVISING THE HORAVA'S PROPOSAL

Ref. [1] has considered only functionals of the metric when possible forms for the potential term were being investigated. However, in addition to the metric, the theory includes the lapse and shift variable. The potential could be a non-trivial functional of these variables. We first show/review the non-trivial dependence on the lapse variable.

Let us start with a $D + 1$ dimensional metric of the space-time

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu. \quad (1)$$

Using the coordinate (t, x^i) , the metric can be re-written in the ADM form:

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt) (dx^j + N^j dt). \quad (2)$$

Ref. [1] assigns the following scaling dimensions to the coordinates and the variables appeared in the ADM form of the metric:

$$[t] = -z, [x] = -1, [N] = 0, [N_i] = z - 1, [g_{ij}] = 0. \quad (3)$$

It then requires the physical quantities to be invariant under the scaling symmetry. Furthermore ref.[1] demands the physical quantities to be invariant under the following coordinate transformations (foliation symmetry):

$$t \rightarrow f(t), \quad (4)$$

$$x^i \rightarrow \xi^i(x^j, t). \quad (5)$$

Under $x^i \rightarrow \xi^i$, N transforms as a scalar. Under $t \rightarrow f(t)$, N transforms as a scalar density. We can construct the following quantifier out from N which transforms covariantly (as a tensor) under both of these transformations:

$$\nabla^i \ln N, \quad (6)$$

The scaling dimension of the above tensor read

$$[\nabla^i \ln N] = 1, \quad (7)$$

Ref. [1] first constructs the kinetic term for g_{ij} . It then adds the potential term for the metric to the kinetic term. The potential term can be any scalar respecting the symmetries of the theory constructed out of the g_{ij} , $R_{ijkl}[g_{ij}]$, N_i and N and their spacial covariant derivatives;

$$S_V = S_V[g_{ij}, R_{ijkl}, N_i, N, \nabla_i], \quad (8)$$

A subclass of which reads

$$S_V = \int dt d^D x \sqrt{\det g} N V[g_{ij}, R_{ijkl}, N_i, N, \nabla_i] \quad (9)$$

where $V[g_{ij}, R_{ijkl}, N_i, N, \nabla_i]$ is a scalar under spacial transformation and preserves the foliation symmetry. Ref.[1] from outset presumes that $V[g_{ij}, R_{ijkl}, N_i, N, \nabla_i]$ does not depend on the lapse and shift variables. However in contrary to the assumption of the ref.[1], the potential can non-trivially depend on the lapse variable and its covariant derivatives. In fact any spacial scalar constructed out of g_{ij} , g^{ij} , $R_{ijkl}[g_{ij}]$, $\nabla_i \ln N$ and their spatial covariant derivatives will preserve the foliation symmetry. So the ‘general’ potential can be written as:

$$S_V = \int dt d^D x \sqrt{g} N V, \quad (10)$$

$$V = V[g_{ij}, R_{ijkl}, \nabla_i \ln N, \nabla_i], \quad (11)$$

where V is a scalar constructed out from its arguments. Because S_V should be of vanishing scaling dimension, V must satisfy $[V] = D + z$. For sake of simplicity we consider only the choice of $D = 3$ and $z = 3$ but our conclusion apparently remains valid for other cases of interest. For this choice we have

$$[\nabla_i] = 1, [\nabla_i N] = 1, [g_{ij}] = 0. \quad (12)$$

Let $f^{i_1 \dots i_n} = f^{i_1 \dots i_n}[\nabla^i \ln N, \nabla^i]$ be defined as the most general polynomial constructed out from $\nabla \ln N$ and ∇ that carries the “ $i_1 \dots i_n$ ” indices:

$$f^{i_1} = c_1 \nabla^{i_1} \ln N, \quad (13)$$

$$f^{i_1 i_2} = c_1 \nabla^{i_1} \ln N \nabla^{i_2} \ln N + c_2 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \ln N, \quad (14)$$

$$f^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = c_1 \nabla^{i_1} \ln N \nabla^{i_2} \ln N \nabla^{i_3} \ln N + c_2 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \ln N \nabla^{i_3} \ln N + c_3 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^{i_3} \ln N, \quad (15)$$

$$f^{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} = c_1 \nabla^{i_1} \ln N \nabla^{i_2} \ln N \nabla^{i_3} \ln N \nabla^{i_4} \ln N + c_2 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \ln N \nabla^{i_3} \ln N \nabla^{i_4} \ln N + c_3 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^{i_3} \ln N \nabla^{i_4} \ln N + c_4 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^{i_3} \nabla^{i_4} \ln N + c_5 \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \ln N \nabla^{i_3} \nabla^{i_4} \ln N, \quad (16)$$

where c_i ’s are real constant parameters, and the explicit form of $f^{i_1 \dots i_5}$ and $f^{i_1 \dots i_6}$ have not been written but they can be induced. Using the above definition it follows that V reads

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^6 V_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{6-n}[g] f^{i_1 \dots i_n}[\nabla^i \ln N, \nabla^i], \quad (17)$$

wherein $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{6-n}[g]$ is a tensor of rank n containing $6 - n$ derivatives constructed out from the spatial metric, and its spatial derivatives. For $D = 3$ these terms can easily be constructed because the Ricci tensor carries all the degrees of freedom of the Riemann tensor. We suffice to present $V_{i_1}^5[g]$ and $V_{i_1 i_2}^4[g]$

$$V_{i_1}^5[g] = d_1 \nabla_{i_1} \square R + d_2 R \nabla_{i_1} R + d_3 R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{i_1} R^{\alpha\beta} + d_4 R_{i_1\beta} \nabla^\beta R, \quad (18)$$

$$V_{i_1 i_2}^4[g] = d_1 R_{i_1 i_2} R + d_2 R_{i_1\alpha} R_{i_2}^\alpha + d_3 R^2 g_{i_1 i_2} + d_4 \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} R + d_5 \nabla_{i_2} \nabla_{i_1} R + d_6 \square R_{i_1 i_2} + d_7 \square R g_{i_1 i_2} \quad (19)$$

where R stands for the Ricci scalar and d_i ’s are real constant parameters. In higher dimensions one may use the classification of ref. [2] to write the algebraically independent terms in $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{6-n}[g]$. Note that some of the above terms are related to each other by partial integration in the action. We leave implementing the simplification by partial integration to interested reader. These terms has been proposed in [3] and the physical significance to resolve the problems like that of highlighted in [7, 7, 8] is investigated in [4], some claims of which are yet being debated [5, 6]. In the following we aim to use these missing terms in order to propose a different Lifshitz point serving as a candidate for the UV completion of Einstein general relativity.

To this aim we recall that, so far, different scaling dimensions have been assigned to time and space in order to make the theory power counting renormalizable. Let’s assume that there exists at least one quantum-mechanically

effective dimension beside the ordinary space-time dimensions. We represents this dimension as q . Using coordinate (q, x^μ) , the effective metric in the ADM-like coordinates reads

$$ds^2 = N^2 dq^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(dx^\mu + N^\mu dq)(dx^\nu + N^\nu dq) \quad (20)$$

where μ runs from 0 to D . From this time on, D will represent the dimension of the ordinary space-time. As before, we can assign the following scaling coordinates to the variables appeared above

$$[q] = -z, [x] = [t] = -1, [N] = 0, [N_\mu] = z - 1, [g_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (21)$$

In the same analogy, we define the foliation symmetry over the q coordinate:

$$q \rightarrow f(q), \quad (22)$$

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \xi^\mu(x^\nu, q). \quad (23)$$

We then require the theory to be invariant under scaling and foliation of the q coordinate. This theory can be made power counting renormalizable. Having defined

$$K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial q} - \nabla_\mu N_\nu - \nabla_\nu N_\mu \right) \quad (24)$$

the part of the action of the theory that includes only two derivatives follows

$$S_k = \frac{2}{k^2} \int dq d^D x \sqrt{-\det g} N (K_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} - \lambda K^2). \quad (25)$$

In contrary to the original Horava-Lifshitz theory, this part does not include the space-time derivatives of the metric. We set $z = D$ and make the coupling constant for this action dimensionless. In our realisation, the term that is known as potential term of the Horava theory generates the dynamics of the metric. That term reads

$$S_V = \int dq d^D x \sqrt{-\det g} N V[g_{\mu\nu}, \nabla_\mu \ln N, \nabla_\mu] \quad (26)$$

while

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^{2D} V_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{2D-n}[g] f^{i_1 \dots i_n}[\nabla^i \ln N, \nabla^i], \quad (27)$$

wherein $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{2D-n}[g]$ is a tensor of rank n containing $2D - n$ derivatives constructed out from the space-time metric, and its covariant derivatives. $f^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ again is the most general polynomial constructed out from $\nabla \ln N$ and ∇ that carries the “ $i_1 \dots i_n$ ” indices. Let V be linear in term of the Riemann tensor. In other words, consider just $n = 2D - 2$ term in (27). Let $f^{i_1 \dots i_{2D-2}}$ be

$$f^{i_1 \dots i_{2D-2}} = \prod_{p=1}^{2D-2} \nabla^{i_p} \ln N. \quad (28)$$

These choices lead to the following ‘potential’ term:

$$V = V_{i_1 \dots i_{2D-2}}^2[g] \prod_{p=1}^{2D-2} \nabla^{i_p} \ln N. \quad (29)$$

the first variation of which leads to third order differential equations of motion for g and N . Usually it is the appearance of four order derivatives and beyond that leads to fluctuations which are hard to control or to be considered consistent with causality. Third order equations should not be discarded based on the ghost-free criterion from outset. Besides there exist some possibilities in $V = V_{i_1 \dots i_{2D-2}}^2[g]$ to further simplify or demand additional properties on the equations of motion.

To summarize,

$$S = \frac{2}{k^2} \int dq d^D x \sqrt{-\det g} N (K_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} - \lambda K^2 + V_{i_1 \dots i_{2D-2}}^2[g] \prod_{p=1}^{2D-2} \nabla^{i_p} \ln N) \quad (30)$$

is a power counting renormalizable theory that possesses all symmetries of a D dimensional space-time (enhanced by foliation symmetry over the q coordinate). So it can serve as a candidate for UV fixed point of gravity in a D dimensional space-time. In contrast to the original Horava's proposal, it does not break the symmetry between time and space. Instead it breaks the symmetry in space, it divides the space into the q coordinate and the ordinary directions. Its low energy limit is gravity in $D + 1$ dimensional space-time where the symmetry group for the spacial directions is broken.

Since in the low energy limit the lowest derivative terms are the leading terms, one expects to have the usual Einstein-Hilbert action in $D + 1$ dimension corrected by S_k (25)

$$S_{\text{Low-Energy}} = \int d^D x dq \sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}} \tilde{R} + S_k, \quad (31)$$

where $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{R}$ are the metric and the Ricci scalar in/of the higher dimensional space-time. Note that S_k manifestly breaks the symmetry between the ordinary space and the q coordinate. The phenomenology of original Horava's proposal has investigated to almost fine details, for example [9–12]. There exists a fair amount of literature/models about phenomenology of extra dimensions. In each of these models, it sounds interesting how the inclusion of S_k term affects the consistency of the model with cosmological observation, and possibly alters its prediction.

Also note that we can increase the number of extra dimensions from one to an arbitrary number, call it n , where the metric reads

$$ds^2 = N_{ab} dq^a dq^b + g_{\mu\nu} (dx^\mu + N_a^\mu dq^a) (dx^\nu + N_a^\nu dq^a) \quad (32)$$

where μ denotes the ordinary D dimensional space-time, and a runs from 1 to n , and the scaling dimension of the variables read

$$[q_a] = -z, [x] = [t] = -1, [N_{ab}] = 0, [N_a^\mu] = z - 1, [g_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (33)$$

Note that we shall use N_{ab} to bring down/up the indices of q dimensions. The generalised foliation symmetry on q -dimensions reads

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &\rightarrow f_n(q_m) \\ x_\mu &\rightarrow \xi_\mu(x_\nu, q_n) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The ‘potential’ term follows

$$S_V = \int d^n q d^D x \sqrt{-\det g} \sqrt{\det N_{ab}} V[g_{\mu\nu}, \nabla_\mu \ln N_{ab}, \nabla_\mu], \quad (35)$$

where $V[g_{\mu\nu}, \nabla_\mu \ln N_{ab}, \nabla_\mu]$ is a scalar with scaling dimension of $[V] = D + nz$ constructed out from its argument. Since N_{ab} is a matrix, V includes the trace operator. V can be decomposed as follows

$$V[g_{\mu\nu}, \nabla_\mu \ln N_{ab}, \nabla_\mu] = \sum_{p=0}^{D+nz} V_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{D+nz-p} [g] f^{i_1 \dots i_p} [\nabla^i \ln N_{ab}, \nabla^i], \quad (36)$$

wherein $V_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{D+nz-p} [g]$ is a tensor of rank p containing $D + nz - p$ derivatives constructed out from the space-time metric, and its covariant derivatives. $f^{i_1 \dots i_p}$ again being a scalar, is the most general polynomial constructed out from $\nabla \ln N_{ab}$ and ∇ that carries the “ $i_1 \dots i_p$ ” indices. Note that it is (35) which governs the dynamics of the theory in the UV point. Again we choose this term such that the equations of motion for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and N_{ab} are third order.

There exists another quantity that transforms nicely under (34)

$$K_{\mu\nu a} = \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial q_a} - g_{\eta\nu} \nabla_\mu N_a^\eta - g_{\eta\mu} \nabla_\nu N_a^\eta. \quad (37)$$

When we had only one extra q -dimension, the part of the action that included K was quadratic in term of K . This is not the case when more than one q -dimension exists. Suppose that the kinetic part of the action was quadratic in term of K , then making this part dimensionless would have required $D + nz - 2z = 0$ the solution of which results to negative scaling dimension for V in case of $n > 2$. Since we like V to be polynomial of positive degree in term of derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and N_{ab} , we discard that action is quadratic in term of K . We further choose the ‘kinetic’ part of the action in the UV point to include $2n$ numbers of the K tensor

$$S_k = \frac{1}{k^2} \int d^n q d^D x \sqrt{-\det g} \sqrt{\det N_{ab}} f_1^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2n} \nu_1 \dots \nu_{2n}} [g] f_2^{a_1 \dots a_{2n}} [N] \prod_{i=1}^{2n} K_{\mu_i \nu_i a_i}, \quad (38)$$

where $f_1^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2n} \nu_1 \dots \nu_{2n}}[g]$ is a tensor polynomial in term of $g^{\mu\nu}$, and $f_2^{a_1 \dots a_{2n}}[N]$ is polynomial in term of N^{ab} where N^{ab} is the components of the inverse of N_{ab} . We currently do not need to present an explicit form for f_1 and f_2 . Requiring k to be dimensionless then results to

$$D + nz - 2nz = 0 \rightarrow D = nz \quad (39)$$

The equations of motion in the IR limit by definition are second order and we have $(D+n)(D+n+1)/2$ variables. So to single out a unique solution in the IR limit we need $(D+n)(D+n+1)$ boundary conditions. As we apply our procedure to (32), we get third order equations in the UV point for each variable. In the UV point, we have $D(D+1)/2$ plus to $n(n+1)/2$ variables. So in total we need

$$\frac{3}{2}(D(D+1) + n(n+1)) \quad (40)$$

‘boundary conditions’ to single out a unique solution in the UV point. Perhaps the dynamical consistency of the theory requires the same number of boundary conditions in order to single out a single solution in the UV and IR point. So the consistency demands

$$\frac{3}{2}(D(D+1) + n(n+1)) = (D+n)(D+n+1) \quad (41)$$

For $n = 1$, the above is solved by $D = 1$ and $D = 2$. For $n = 2$, it is solved by $D = 1$ and $D = 6$. Note that for $n = 3, 4, 5$, (41) does not have any solution for D (D should be a natural number). For $n = 6$, (41) is solved by $D = 2$ and $D = 21$. From physical point of view, larger values for $n + D$ (number of the total dimensions) sounds not plausible. So for

$$(D, n) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (6, 2), (2, 6), (6, 21), (21, 6)\}, \quad (42)$$

there exists the same amount of physical information available at the UV and IR point of the theory. Note that for each of the above choices, (39) gives the scaling dimension of the q-dimensions. Noticing that all the problems of original Horava gravity are due to not having the same number of degrees of freedom around the IR and UV points, we count on the possibility to have the same number of degrees freedom in IR and UV points of our realisation (beside not needing to recover full diff. symmetry in the IR limit) as an indication that our proposal will pass further consistency check between the UV and IR point of the theory. Knowing how the nonlinear theory at the UV point of the proposal explicitly runs toward the IR point, however, proceeds any attempt that aims to further investigate the dynamical consistency between UV and IR point. The UV point, however, is linear in term of the Riemann tensor. This might simplify the effort to find how the UV point runs toward the IR point.

-
- [1] P. Horava, *Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009) [arXiv:0901.3775 [hep-th]].
 - [2] S. A. Fulling, R.C. King, B.G. Wybourne, C.J. Cummins, *Normal forms for tensor polynomials: I. The Riemann tensor*, Class. Quantum Grav. 9 (1992) 11511197.
 - [3] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, *On the Extra Mode and Inconsistency of Horava Gravity*, JHEP **0910** (2009) 029 [arXiv:0906.3046 [hep-th]].
 - [4] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, *A healthy extension of Horava gravity*, arXiv:0909.3525 [hep-th].
 - [5] M. Li and Y. Pang, *A Trouble with Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity*, JHEP **0908** (2009) 015 [arXiv:0905.2751 [hep-th]].
 - [6] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, *Comment on ‘Strong coupling in extended Horava-Lifshitz gravity’*, arXiv:0912.0550 [hep-th].
 - [7] C. Bogdanos and E. N. Saridakis, *Perturbative instabilities in Horava gravity*, arXiv:0907.1636 [hep-th].
 - [8] C. Charmousis, G. Niz, A. Padilla and P. M. Saffin, *Strong coupling in Horava gravity*, JHEP **0908** (2009) 070 [arXiv:0905.2579 [hep-th]].
 - [9] E. N. Saridakis, *Horava-Lifshitz Dark Energy*, arXiv:0905.3532 [hep-th].
 - [10] Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *Non-singular cosmology in a model of non-relativistic gravity*, JCAP **0910** (2009) 020 [arXiv:0906.1789 [hep-th]].
 - [11] G. Leon and E. N. Saridakis, *Phase-space analysis of Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **0911**, 006 (2009) [arXiv:0909.3571 [hep-th]].
 - [12] S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, *Observational constraints on Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, arXiv:0911.1435 [hep-th].